U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: TWJ Associates, Ltd. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 3.9 Acre Property Located West of River Road in River Grove, Cook County, Illinois (Section 27, T40N, R12E) FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-977 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:

☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: ☐ Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There are no wetlands on the project site. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria. Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated July 5, 2006, prepared by RAI. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: __ Approved by: _ Date: ¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Mr. Paul Gerardi PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: West of the Intersection of Gary Avenue and Foster Avenue in the City of Bloomingdale, DuPage County, Illinois/West Branch DuPage River FILE NUMBER: 200600217 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:
☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: ☐ Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: LOMBARD. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: LOMBARD, HA 143. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: LOMBARD, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: DUPAGE COUNTY... Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Wetland Report prepared by Planning Resources Inc. dated September 2004 (revised January 2005) Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland areas A and E are isolated wetland areas. Wetlands B,C and D are jurisdictional waters of the U.S. that have a hydrological connection to a tributary of the West Branch of the DuPage River. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: Approved by: Date:

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: 200600217	1														
REGULATORY PROJECT M	1ANAGE	R: D	iedra W	illis	Da	ate: 9-18	-00								
PROJECT REVIEW/DETER			PLETE		n the office project sit	(Ω_{N})	Date: 4-	12-06							
PROJECT LOCATION INFO State: County: Center coordinates of 88.1219552105744	f site by l	atitude &				Illinoi: DuPag 41.964	-	& -							
Approximate size of Name of waterway of SITE CONDITIONS:			iding uj	nanus & n	i acresj.	West E	Branch DuPag	ge River							
Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 a	ac 25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown						
Lake															
River															
Stream															
Dry Wash															
Mudflat															
Sandflat															
Wetlands	$\overline{\boxtimes}$														
Slough	Ħ	ΙĦ		一一											
Prairie pothole	i Fi	Ħ	 	٦Ħ	Ħ		- 1 -		<u> </u>						
Wet meadow	†Ħ	Ħ	Ħ	1 🗂	一一		15								
Playa lake	 	Ħ	一	ᅥᆏ			ᅥቨ		 						
Vernal pool	 	H	╁┝	-	ᅥᆔ				1 1 1						
Natural pond	$+ \Box$	╁Ħ	1 17	$\dashv \exists $					1 <u> </u>						
Other water (identify type)															
'Check appropriate boxes that bes Jurisdictional aquatic resource are		type of iso	lated, no	n-navigable	, intra-state	water present :	and best estim	ate for size of	fnon-						
•								_							
Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :				If Known		If Unknown Use Best Prof	essional Judg	ment	_						
				Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected Occur	d to Not De	Able To Make termination						
Is or would be used as habitat for	birds prot	ected by													
Migratory Bird Treaties? Is or would be used as habitat by	other mice	atory bird	e that												
cross state lines?	other migr	ALUI Y DIFU	5 (1141												
Is or would be used as habitat for	endangere	d species?													
Is used to irrigate crops sold in in									_						
			for annli	anhility of th	Migrator	Pird Dula to a	naly to oneite	Charles and side have that had deadly attacked for any lightlift of the Migratury Bird Bule to analy to ancite non invidictional isolated							

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

Preliminary
Or Approved

Intersection of	Eagle Rock Community Church Messenger Circle and 159th Street in ER: LRC-2006-956 PROJECT	Homer Tow	nship, Will Co	TION/WATER` unty, Illinois : ⊠ Office □Fi		heast of the
action. (33 CFF Based TO TO TO TO	risdictional Determination (JD) (For R 331.2) and on available information: There are no waters on the project site There are non-jurisdictional waters on There are waters of the United States of th	the project son the projec	ite. : site.	ŕ		JD is an appealable
Basis of Juriso	dictional Determination:					
The printerstate of the U.S. The printerstate of the U.S. The printerstate of the prin	ion 10 waterway.	y used, or we aters which a ng interstate water or oth lering, contig r wetlands. (3)	wetlands ¹). (33 er water of the uous, or neight 33 CFR 328.3 (4, rivers, streams	coast, or may be so e ebb and flow of CFR 328.3 (a)(2 US. (33 CFR 32 poring) to interstal(7)) is (including interstation)	of the tide (i. 2)) 8.3 (a)(5)) ate or other	e., navigable waters
	Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service Natio U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic a USDA Natural Resources Conservati U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute a U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute a U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute B Aerials (Name & Date): Homer Glen, Advanced Identification Wetland Ma Site Visit Conducted on: Other information:	Atlas: MOKI on Service S Fopographic Historic Quad Historic Quad , 1997	ENA, 204. oil Survey for V Maps: MOKE drangles: rangles:	Will County. NA, 1993.		
Rationale for l	Basis (applies to any boxes checked	above): W	etland 1 and 2 a	are isolated and t	herefore not	jurisdictional.
Ordii 	t of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 3 nary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the b the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary		destruction of shelving other:	terrestrial veget	ation	
Basis for Decli	ining Jurisdiction:					
\boxtimes A	le to confirm the presence of waters li Area under consideration is likely to h Area under consideration is <u>not</u> likely	ave been jur	isdictional unde	er pre-SWANCO	Migratory	Bird Rule criteria
☐ Heado	quarters declined to approve jurisdicti	ion on the ba	sis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of	f HQ ration	ale]
☐ This	of Wetland Boundaries office concurs with your wetland deli office does not confirm your wetland	l boundary		prepared by		·
Recommended	d by:			Date:	_//	Sep 06
Approved by:	d by:			Date:	18	18800
wellands are ide	entified and delineated using the methods	and criteria es	capushed in the C	orps Wetland Deli	neation Mani	iai (X7 Manual) (i.e.

Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-956			
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:	Melyssa Navis	Date: 15 Sep	tember 2006
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION C		In the office Y (Y/N) the project site (Y/N)	Date: 15 Sept 2006 Date:
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:			
State:		Illi	nois
County:		Wi	1
Center coordinates of site by latitud Approximate size of site/property (i Name of waterway or watershed:			35' 50.87 & 87 58' 2.88
SITE CONDITIONS:			

Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									T 🗂 📑
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat					1 <u> </u>				
Sandflat			15				16		
Wetlands		\boxtimes							
Slough									
Prairie pothole					15	16	<u> </u>		
Wet meadow									16
Playa lake									
Vernal pool									
Natural pond									
Other water (identify type)									1 🗂
			_			_	-		—

Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :	If k	Known	If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment						
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination				
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?					× ×				
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?									
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?									
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?									
¹ Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.									

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

Preliminary ☐ Or Approved ⊠

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Mallow Construction PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Southwest of the Corner of Pauling Road ar Center Road in Green Garden, Will County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1135 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action (33 CFR 331.2)
action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable water of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: FRANKFORT.
 □ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: FRANKFORT, 231. □ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: FRANKFORT, 1990. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: □ U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: □ Aerials (Name & Date): Frankfort, 1997 □ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: □ Site Visit Conducted on: □ Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There are no wetlands located on the subject property.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
 ✓ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ✓ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by:
Recommended by:
West and the Company of the Company

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Crown Community Development PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Kane County

FILE NUMBER: 200600186 (LRC-2006-23440) PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☒ Office ☒ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: SUGAR GROVE. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: SUGAR GROVE, HA 227. □ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: SUGAR GROVE, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):2001 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY Site Visit Conducted on: 2/7/2006 Other information: FEMA FIRM map, NRCS Farmed Wetlands Determinations Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland I and Wetland 4 drain to Blackberry Creek, which drains to the Fox River, a navigable waterway, and are therefore jursidictional. Wetland 2, Wetland 3, Farmed Wetland 1, Farmed Wetland 2, and Farmed Wetland 3 do not exhibit a surface water connection to a navigable waterway, and are therefore not subject to Department of the Army regulations. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soils wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated October 2005, prepared by Hey & Associates, Inc. (With Exception of Wetland 1). This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. - Date: 9-27-06 Recommended by: __ Date: Approved by: ___

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago	,								
FILE NUMBER: 200600186									
REGULATORY PROJECT N	IANAGE	R: K	imberly F	isher	Da	ite: 9/22/2006			
PROJECT REVIEW/DETER	MINATI	ON COM	PLETEI		n the office project sit	(- · - ·)	Date: 2/7/2006		
PROJECT LOCATION INFO State: County: Center coordinates of Approximate size of Name of waterway o SITE CONDITIONS:	f site by l site/prope r watersh	atitude & erty (inclu ed:	iding upl	linal coor lands & ir	dinates:	Illinois Kane 41-48-4 800 Fox	46.8170, 88-27	'-26.3395	
Type of aquatic resource	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25	ac 25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake	tn		$\vdash \sqcap $	$\vdash \sqcap$		$\neg \neg$	$\neg \neg \neg$	1000	
River	一 一	Ħ	╁╫┈	十		- - 	 		
Stream	一门	 	一一	一百一					
Dry Wash		 	1 1		一一				┼┼──
Mudflat	† 	 - - - 	一一	 	一一一				
Sandflat	16	Ħ	一一	十一	ᅥᅟᅥ				┼∺
Wetlands	†Ħ	一一	Ħ						
Slough	 		<u> </u>	15			 		
Prairie pothole	┼┌┤	<u> </u>	 		一百				
Wet meadow	Ħ	15	1 Fi	一一十		l i			
Playa lake		th	 	↑	$\neg \neg \neg$		 		
Vernal pool	一 一	Ħ		 	77				
Natural pond	 	 	<u> </u>	† <u> </u>	一市				
Other water (identify type)									
¹ Check appropriate boxes that be jurisdictional aquatic resource are		type of iso	lated, non	ı-navigable	, intra-state	water present a	and best estimat	e for size of	non-
Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :	_			If Known		If Unknown			
ringiatory Dita Rate Pactors .						Use Best Prof	essional Judgme		
				Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected (ble To Make ermination
Is or would be used as habitat for	birds prot	ected by				⊠			vi minativii
Migratory Bird Treaties? Is or would be used as habitat by cross state lines?	other migr	atory bird	s that						
Is or would be used as habitat for	endangere	d species?							
Is used to irrigate crops sold in in					 	H	\square		
¹ Check appropriate boxes that be non-navigable, intra-state aquatic	st describe	potential f	or applica	bility of th	e Migratory	Bird Rule to a		on-jurisdict	ional, isolated,
TYPE OF DETERMINATION	_	11 Ca.			Pr	eliminary 🗆	Or Approv	ed 🏻	

Smith Road in Manhattan, Will County,	PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Located East of Route 52, North and South of Illinois PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field
	on (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable
action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available informatio	m.
There are no waters on the	
☐ There are non-jurisdiction:	
There are waters of the Un	
There are both waters of the	ne United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination	
There are no jurisdictional wa	ters of the United States present on the project site.
	are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport
	luding all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters
of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))	name and material mineral and adopted to and about and not of the that (i.e., manifector material
	ers (including interstate wetlands ¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
	an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
	cent ² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US,
	cent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
	ater (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats,
	prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
Other:	Tallita politico, nor mento de piaja miles, et matural politico.
Section 10 waterway.	
•	
Information Reviewed	
	ervice National Wetland Inventory: MANHATTAN.
U. S. Geological Survey I	Hydrologic Atlas: MANHATTAN, 211.
	Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
U. S. Geological Survey	7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: MANHATTAN, 1990.
	7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles:
	15-Minute Historic Quadrangles:
Advanced Identification \(\)	Wetland Maps:
☐ Site Visit Conducted on:	
Other information:	
Rationale for Basis (applies to any box	res checked above):
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CF)	R 328 and 329).
Ordinary High Water Mark in	
clear, natural line impres	
the presence of litter and	
changes in the character	
wetland boundary	or our
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
57	2
	e of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
	is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Area under consideration	is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to appro	ove jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries	
☐ This office concurs with your	wetland delineation report dated , prepared by .
This office does not confirm y	our wetland boundary
Recommended by:	Date: a / dept 06
A	- 5 - Date: 27 Sept 06 -5 - Date: 28 SEP =6
Westerde are identified and delicered and	Date: 2007 6

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: R4 Enterprises PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Intersection of Evergreen Avenue and 2nd Street in Unincorporated Glendale Heights, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-851 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: LOMBARD. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: LOMBARD, HA 143. ☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: LOMBARD, 1993. ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The one wetland area within the project site does not appear to have a hydrological connection to a jurisdictional waters of the U.S.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated
Recommended by:
Approved by:
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-851 REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Diedra Willis Date: September 25, 2006 Date: September 25, 2006 In the office PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: Date: August 2006 At the project site PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION: Illinois State: County: DuPage Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: 41.8985585015 & -88.0748435044 Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): 2.41 Name of waterway or watershed: East Branch DuPage River SITE CONDITIONS:

Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands									
Slough									
Prairie pothole									
Wet meadow									
Playa lake									
Vernal pool									
Natural pond									
Other water (identify type)	_								

Migratory Bird Rule Factors1: If Known If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment Yes No Predicted Not Expected to Not Able To Make <u>Occ</u>ur to Occur Determination \boxtimes Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties? Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that \boxtimes cross state lines? Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species? Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce? 1 Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

Preliminary Or Approved 🛛

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Elburn Station Plaza LLC PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Kane County FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1071 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable
Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) ☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) ☑ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). ☐ Other: ☐ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ELBURN. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ELBURN, HA 229. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ELBURN, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1 does not exhibit a surface water connection to a navigable waterway, and is therefore not subject to Department of the Army regulations.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soils wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Recommended by:
Pate: Pate: Pa

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1071				
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:	Kimberly Fisher	Date:	9/21/2006	
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION CO		In the office Y the project site	(Y/N) (Y/N)	Date: 9/21/2006 Date:
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:		pj	()	
State:			Illinois	
County:			Kane	
Center coordinates of site by latitud	e & longitudinal co	oordinates:	41.88261	1, -88.47375
Approximate size of site/property (in	ncluding uplands &	& in acres):	9.6	
Name of waterway or watershed:		,	Lower F	ox
SITE CONDITIONS:				

Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands	\boxtimes							_	
Slough									T
Prairie pothole									
Wet meadow									
Playa lake								_	
Vernal pool									
Natural pond									1 🗇
Other water (identify type)									
	-								
¹ Check appropriate boxes that be jurisdictional aquatic resource at	est describe rea.	type of iso	lated, non-	navigable, in	tra-state wat	er present an	d best estima	ate for size of	non-

Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :	If Known		If Unknown Use Best Pro	fessional Judgment	
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?					
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?					
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?					
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?					
¹ Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for appli non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.	cability of the	ne Migratory	y Bird Rule to a	apply to onsite, non-	jurisdictional, isolated,

Preliminary ☐ Or Approved ⊠

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Thomas and Mary MeagherPROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Lake County

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-630 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⊠ Office ⊠Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action, (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: ☐ There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: LAKE ZURICH. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: LAKE ZURICH, HA 208. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: LAKE ZURICH, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: BARRINGTON, 1961. Aerials (Name & Date):2004 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY. Site Visit Conducted on: 8/29/2006 Other information: FEMA FIRM map Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): A pond exists on the subject property, but it does not exhibit a surface water connection to a navigable waterway and is therefore not subject to Department of the Army regulations. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary 9-19-06 Recommended by: Approved by: Date: ¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-630			
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:	Kimberly Fisher	Date: 9/	19/2006
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION CO		In the office he project siteY ()	(Y/N) Date: Y/N) Date: 8/29/2006
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:			,
State:			Illinois
County:			Lake
Center coordinates of site by latitude	& longitudinal co	ordinates:	42.16841, -88.06474
Approximate size of site/property (in	cluding uplands &	in acres):	2.05
Name of waterway or watershed: SITE CONDITIONS:			Des Plaines River

	1				feet	
						†
				1=-		
						
						177
				<u> </u>		
				<u> </u>		
						16
				†Ē		17
						15
	1 🗖			Ħ		
						
_						

Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :	If Knov	vu	If Unknown Use Best Pro	If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment				
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination			
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?								
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?								
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?								
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?								
¹ Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for appl non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.	icability o	f the Migrat	ory Bird Rule to	apply to onsite, non-	jurisdictional, isolated,			

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

Preliminary Or Approved

APPLICANT: Village of Elburn PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Kane County
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-703 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ELGIN. ☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ELBURN, HA 229. ☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ELBURN, 1993. ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1 does not exhibit a surface water connection to a navigable waterway and is therefore not subject to Department of the Army regulations.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soils wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
 ✓ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ✓ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ✓ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Recommended by:
Approved by: Date:
¹ Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-701				
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:	Kimberly Fisher	Date:	9/18/2006	
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION CO		In the office Y he project site	(Y/N) (Y/N)	Date: 9/18/2006 Date:
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:			, ,	
State:			Illinois	
County:			Kane	
Center coordinates of site by latitude	& longitudinal co	ordinates:	41.89198	3, -88.470399
Approximate size of site/property (inc	cluding uplands &	in acres):	7	
Name of waterway or watershed:			Lower Fo	ox River
SITE CONDITIONS:				

Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									$\top\Box$
Sandflat									
Wetlands									
Slough									
Prairie pothole									
Wet meadow									
Playa lake									
Vernal pool									
Natural pond									
Other water (identify type)	_								

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :	If Knov	vn	If Unknown Use Best Pro	If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment			
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination		
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?			×				
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?							
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?							
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?							
¹ Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for appl non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.	icability of	the Migrate	ory Bird Rule to	apply to onsite, non-	jurisdictional, isolated,		

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

Preliminary 🗌 Or Approved 🛛

APPLICANT: Wyndham Deerpoint PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Kane County
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-889 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: HAMPSHIRE. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: HAMPSHIRE, HA 459. ☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: HAMPSHIRE, 1978. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ☑ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):1991, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 2001, 2002 ☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY ☑ Site Visit Conducted on: ☑ Other information: FEMA FIRM map, NRCS Swampbuster Map
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetlands 1, 2, 3, and Farmed Wetland 1 do not exhibit a surface water connection to a navigable waterway, and are therefore not subject to Department of the Army regulations.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soils wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
 ✓ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ✓ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ✓ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Recommended by:
Approved by: Date:

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-889				
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:	Kimberly Fish	ner Date:	9/20/2006	
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION C	COMPLETED:	In the office Y At the project site	(Y/N) (Y/N)	Date: 9/20/2006 Date:
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:				
State:			Illinois	
County:			Kane	
Center coordinates of site by latitu	de & longitudin	al coordinates:	42.0229	5, -88.55555
Approximate size of site/property (including uplan	ds & in acres):	186	
Name of waterway or watershed:		,	Kishwai	ıkee
SITE CONDITIONS:				
Tune of aquetic resources 0.1 as 1.2	3.5.00	£ 10 as 10 25 as	25.50	> 50 X !

Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									1 🗂 📑
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands									
Slough									
Prairie pothole									
Wet meadow									
Playa lake									
Vernal pool									
Natural pond									1 🗖 📉
Other water (identify type)									
Check appropriate boxes that jurisdictional aquatic resource		type of iso	lated, non-	navigable, ii	itra-state wat	er present an	d best estim	ate for size of	f non-

Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :	If Known		If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment				
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination		
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?							
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?							
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?					\boxtimes		
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?							
Theck appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.							

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

Preliminary Or Approved

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Salvatore C. MiglorePROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 16W556 Timberlake Drive Near Willowbrook, in Unincorporated DuPage County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-852 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:
☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: SAG BRIDGE. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: SAG BRIDGE, HA 149. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: SAG BRIDGE, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: DUPAGE COUNTY... Site Visit Conducted on: August 30, 2006 Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The wetland area onsite does not appear to have a hydrological connection to any traceable hydrologic connection therefore the onsite wetland is isolated. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: destruction of terrestrial vegetation clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated May 8, 2006, prepared by Christopher Burke Engineering. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. __ Date: _ 9-18-06 ORIGINAL SIGNED Recommended by: Approved by: Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adiacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-852				
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:	Diedra Willis	Date:	September 1	18, 2006
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION OF PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:		In the office t the project site	(Y/N)	Date: September 18, 2006 Date: August 30, 2006
State:			Illinois	
County:			DuPage	
Center coordinates of site by latitud	de & longitudinal	coordinates:	41.7290	825015 & -87.9476154985
Approximate size of site/property (1	
Name of waterway or watershed:			Sawmill	Creek
SITE CONDITIONS:				

Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands									
Slough									
Prairie pothole									
Wet meadow									
Playa lake									
Vernal pool									
Natural pond									
Other water (identify type)									
	· <u> </u>						<u> </u>		
¹ Check appropriate boxes that be jurisdictional aquatic resource ar		type of iso	lated, non-	navigable, ir	itra-state wat	er present ar	id best estim	ate for size o	f non-

Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :	If Known		If Unknown				
			Use Best Professional Judgment				
	Yes	No	Predicted	Not Expected to	Not Able To Make		
			to Occur	Occur	Determination		
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by							
Migratory Bird Treaties?							
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that					\boxtimes		
cross state lines?							
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?					<u> </u>		
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?							
Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for appli	icability of tl	he Migrator	y Bird Rule to	apply to onsite, non-	jurisdictional, isolated,		
non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.							

TYPE	OF	DET	ERM	INAT	ION:

Preliminary ☐ Or Approved ⊠

APPLICANT: Village of Crete	PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Southwest Corner of Old Monee and Route 1
in Crete, Will County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-719	PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field
action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information There are no waters on the There are non-jurisdiction There are waters of the U	e project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination	1:
☐ The presence of waters which interstate or foreign commerce, in of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) ☐ The presence of interstate wa ☐ The presence of a tributary to ☐ The presence of wetlands adj except for those wetlands adj ☐ The presence of an isolated wandflats, wetlands, sloughs, ☐ Other: ☐ Section 10 waterway.	aters of the United States present on the project site. In are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport cluding all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters ters (including interstate wetlands ¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) In an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) In accent 2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, accent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) In accent 2 (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
 ✓ U. S. Geological Survey ✓ USDA Natural Resource ✓ U. S. Geological Survey ✓ U. S. Geological Survey ✓ U. S. Geological Survey ✓ Aerials (Name & Date): 	Wetland Maps:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any bo not jurisdictional.	exes checked above): The wetland located on the subject property is isolated and therefore
Ordinary High Water Mark in clear, natural line imprete the presence of litter and changes in the character wetland boundary	ndicated by: ssed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation d debris shelving
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
Area under consideration	te of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to appr	ove jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
This office does not confirm	wetland delineation report dated March 2, 2006, prepared by JFNew.
Recommended by:	-5- Date: 14 Dep 06
Approved by:	-5- Date: 14 Sepo 6 -5- Date: 14 Sepo 6

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

Date: 14 Sep 2006

Melyssa Navis

PROJECT LOCATION IN	FODMATI	ON:		At the p	roject site	(Y/N)	Date:		
State:	FORMATI	OIN.				Illinois			
County:						Will			
Center coordinate Approximate size Name of waterway SITE CONDITIONS:	of site/prop	erty (inclu					4.70 & 87 3	7' 57.26"	
Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands			\boxtimes						
Slough								-	
Prairie pothole									
Wet meadow									
Playa lake									
Vernal pool									
Natural pond					18				
<u> </u>									
Other water (identify type)					1				

Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :	If Known		If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment				
	Yes	No			Not Able To Make		
			to Occur	Occur	Determination		
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?							
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?					\boxtimes		
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?							
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?							
¹ Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for appl non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.	icability of t	he Migrator	y Bird Rule to	apply to onsite, non-	jurisdictional, isolated,		

Preliminary ☐ Or Approved ☒

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-719

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:

APPLICANT: IDOT PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY:
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1086 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Spield
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: LIBERTYVILLE. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: LIBERTYVILLE, HA 88. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: LIBERTYVILLE, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY. Site Visit Conducted on: September 8, 2006 Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The on-site wetlands are hydrologically connected to the Skokie River through drainage ditches located in the north and south portions of the parcel. A field visit verified justrisdictional wetlands on the property but did not verify isolated depressional wetlands as noted in the submitted "Mitigation Site Assessment".
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary Description (33 CFR 328 and 329): destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is <u>not</u> likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary ☐ ()
Recommended by:SDate:9/11/06
Approved by: ORIGINAL SIGNED Date: SEP 1 2 2006
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Come Wetland Delineation Manual (97 Manual) (i.e.

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1086	
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Kathy Che	ernich Date: September 11, 2006
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETE	D: In the office (Y/N) Date: At the project site Yes (Y/N) Date: 9/8/2006
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:	
State:	Illinois
County:	Lake
Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitu Approximate size of site/property (including up	
Name of waterway or watershed:	Des Plaines River
SITE CONDITIONS:	

Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands								_	
Slough									
Prairie pothole			一		Ti				
Wet meadow									
Playa lake			Ħ	<u> </u>	ī				1 17
Vernal pool					T				<u> </u>
Natural pond		Ī			16	 - - - 			
Other water (identify type) Drainage Ditches									

Migratory Bird Rule Factors¹:	If Knov	vn	If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment				
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination		
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?							
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?							
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?			\boxtimes				
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?							
¹ Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for appl	icability o	f the Migrat	ory Bird Rule to	apply to onsite, non-	jurisdictional, isolated,		
non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.							

Preliminary ☐ Or Approved ⊠

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Ridge Property Services II, LLC PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 4.87 Acre Property Located At 11230 Addison Avenue In Franklin Park, Cook County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-999 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:
☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ___ Aerials (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The linear wetland located on the northern portion of the subject property does not exhibit a hydrologic connection to a navigable waterway and are therefore not regulated by this office. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: Approved by: Date:

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

Date: 9/6/2006

Paul Leffler

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:

FILE NUMBER:

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETE					In the office e project sit	` /	Date: 9/6/2006 Date:		
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION: State: County: Cook Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): Name of waterway or watershed: SITE CONDITIONS: At the project site (Y/N) Date: Hillinois Cook Cook C41.942768 -87.905785 A,87 Silver Creek									
Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 a	c 10-25	ac 25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake	$\vdash \sqcap$	tn	m	\neg	-			1000	
River	t∺	1 1	╁Ѭ╴						
Stream	Ħ	TH	Ħ						
Dry Wash	 	Ti	╁┾		<u> </u>		 		
Mudflat	 	T I	Ħ			T T	17		
Sandflat	一計	 	╁╫╴	一一	ᅥ片		 		1 1 1
Wetlands	H	╁┼	╁╫╴	ᅥ岩	- H -	⊢⊢	+=		
Slough	H	╁┼	H	$\dashv \vdash \vdash$	- - - - - - - - - - 	⊢⊢			$+$ \vdash
Prairie pothole	╁┼	 	 	$\dashv \vdash \vdash$	- - - - - - - - - - 	- - - - - - - - - - 	+=		十片
Wet meadow	╁┼	╁┼┼	╁┼		 	ᅡ片	╁┼		+ 15
Playa lake	╁┼	 	╁┼	$\dashv \vdash \vdash$	ᅥ岩	- - - - - - - - - 	 		+=
Vernal pool	+#-		+=			$\dashv \vdash \vdash$	 		
Natural pond	H		╁╫╴		- - - - - - - - - 				
Other water (identify type) Detention basin									
¹ Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.									
Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :				If Known	1	If Unknown			
				Yes	No	Use Best Profe Predicted	ssional Judgme Not Expected t		Able To Make
				1 68	140	to Occur	Occur	I	etermination
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?							⊠		
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?							\boxtimes		
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?								\boxtimes	
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?								\boxtimes	
¹ Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.									
TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary Or Approved									
OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):									

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Ridge Property Services II, LLC PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 5.71 Acre Property Located At 1101 Franklin Avenue In Franklin Park, Cook County, Illinois PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:
☐ Office ☐ Field FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1025 Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _ □ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The three wetlands located on the southeastern portion of the property do not exhibit a hydrologic connection to a navigable water and are therefore not regulated by this office. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: destruction of terrestrial vegetation clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated July 25, 2006, prepared by Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Ltd. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Date: Recommended by: _ Approved by: Date: Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER:										
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Paul Leffler Date:										
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED					n the office project sit	e Y (Y/N) e (Y/	Date: 9/6/ N) Date:	2006		
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION: State: County: Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): Name of waterway or watershed: SITE CONDITIONS: Ratthe project site (1714) Bate. Illinois Cook 41.943897 -87.901840 5.7 Silver Creek										
Type of aquatic resource	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25	ac 25-50	ac > 50 ac	Linear Unknown feet		
Lake	\Box	$\vdash \sqcap$		1 -	$\neg \neg$					
River	TH	Ħ		 	一 一		·			
Stream	t n	Ħ	Ħ	T T			Ī			
Dry Wash	th	Ħ	Ħ	Ħ						
Mudflat	Ħ	Ħ	Ħ	Ħ	H	<u> </u>				
Sandflat	Ħ	H	H	Ħ	ᅥᆏ					
Wetlands			H	H	$\dashv \exists$		T iii			
Slough		H	H	Ħ						
Prairie pothole	+=	╁┼	H	片						
Wet meadow				片	$\dashv \exists \vdash$	- - - - - - - - - - 				
Playa lake		 	片	+=	᠆	- - -	- 			
Vernal pool	┼╞┼──	H		片		- - - - - - - - - - 	$\dashv eta$			
Natural pond	╁┼	╁┼	H	H	᠆┼┼		- - - - - - - - - - 	- - - - - - - - - - 		
Other water (identify type)	++	╁┼	H	┼┼	- -		-			
——————————————————————————————————————										
¹ Check appropriate boxes that bes jurisdictional aquatic resource are		type of iso	lated, non-	-navigable -	, intra-state	water preser	nt and best estima	ate for size of non-		
Migratory Bird Rule Factors¹: If Known If Unknown										
								essional Judgment		
				Yes	No	Predicted	Not Expected			
				_		to Occur	Occur	Determination		
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?						\boxtimes				
cross state lines?										
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?										
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?										
Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated,										
non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.										
TYPE OF DETERMINATION	٧:				Pr	eliminary	Or Appro	ved 🗵		

APPLICANT: Louis Pranno PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Located Along the West Side of Rohlwing Road Just North of Route 20 in Bloomingdale Township, DuPage County, Illinois							
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1101	PROJECT REVIEW CO	MPLETED: 🛭 Office 🗀]Field				
Approved Jurisdictional Determination action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the property of the United There are waters of the United There are both waters of the	roject site. waters on the project site. d States on the project site	·		is an appealable			
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:							
☐ There are no jurisdictional water ☐ The presence of waters which are interstate or foreign commerce, included of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) ☐ The presence of interstate waters ☐ The presence of a tributary to an ☐ The presence of wetlands adjace except for those wetlands adjace ☐ The presence of an isolated water sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prace Other: ☐ Section 10 waterway.	e currently used, or were used in all waters which are so including interstate weth interstate water or other wint? (bordering, contiguount to other wetlands. (33 Cr (e.g., intrastate lakes, riv	used in the past, or may be subject to the ebb and flow ands ¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2) vater of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2) vater of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) ers, streams (including intersub)	of the tide (i.e., (2)) 28.3 (a)(5)) tate or other wa	navigable waters			
Information Reviewed							
 ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv ☑ U. S. Geological Survey Hy ☑ USDA Natural Resources C ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 ☑ Aerial Photographs (Name of Advanced Identification Wester Visit Conducted on: ☐ Other information: 	drologic Atlas: LOMBAF conservation Service Soil S Minute Topographic Map Minute Historic Quadran Minute Historic Quadran & Date): Aerials express 2	RD, HA 143. Survey for DuPage County. Dis: LOMBARD, 1993. gles: gles: 004					
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes a storm sewer, therefore the wetland area i		tland area drains into a roa	dside ditch offs	ite which goes into			
Crdinary High Water Mark indices clear, natural line impresent the presence of litter and changes in the character wetland boundary	eated by: ssed on the bank debris	destruction of terrestriashelving other	ıl vegetation				
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:							
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of ☐ Area under consideration is ☐ Area under consideration is	likely to have been jurisdic	ctional under pre-SWANCO	C Migratory Bir	rd Rule criteria			
Headquarters declined to approve	jurisdiction on the basis of	of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy	of HQ rationale	:]			
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your we Ltd ☐ This office does not confirm you	•	ated March 2006, prepared	by Cowhey Gu	dmundson Leder,			
Recommended by: ORIGINAL	SIGNED	Date:	9-6-0	26			
Approved by:		Date:	SEP 6	2006			
Wetlands are identified and delineated using th	e methods and criteria establi-	shed in the Corps Wetland De	lineation Manual	(87 Manual) (i.e.,			

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicag FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-									
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Diedra Willis Date: September 06, 2006									
PROJECT REVIEW/DETER	PLETE		n the office project site	(Y/N)	-06				
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION: State: County: Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): Name of waterway or watershed: SITE CONDITIONS: At the project site (Y(N) Date: DuPage									
Type of aquatic resource	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 a	c 25-50 ac		Linear Unknown feet	
Lake	 			$\vdash \sqcap$	-				
River		Ī	ī	ī					
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands			Time Time	<u> </u>					
Slough	Ti	ī	ī	<u> </u>					
Prairie pothole	ī	ī	ī						
Wet meadow			n						
Playa lake	一百一		Ħ	 					
Vernal pool			ī						
Natural pond	ī	n							
Other water (identify type)	-								
'Check appropriate boxes that be jurisdictional aquatic resource a		type of iso	lated, no	n-navigable	e, intra-state	water present a	nd best estimate	for size of non-	
Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :				If Known If Unknown Use Best Professional Ju			essional Judgmen	nt	
				Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination	
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by							Occur		
Migratory Bird Treaties?									
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?									
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?									
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?									
'Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.									
TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary ☐ Or Approved ☒									